



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/807,472

03/24/2004

Osamu Nakamura

740756-2722

2927

22204

7590

04/09/2008

NIXON PEABODY, LLP

401 9TH STREET, NW

SUITE 900

WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128

EXAMINER

DHINGRA, RAKESH KUMAR

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1792

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/09/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/807,472	Applicant(s) NAKAMURA, OSAMU	
	Examiner RAKESH K. DHINGRA	Art Unit 1792	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RAKESH K. DHINGRA. (3)_____.

(2) Sean Pryor. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 01 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-3,24,31 and 38.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6,827,870 - Gianchandani et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion included possible amendment to independent claims 1-3,24,31,38 to more clearly recite the structure of first and second electrodes with respect to substrate position, besides clarifying claim limitations "plurality of lines" (in above claims), and "plurality of second electrodes" in claim 24. Examiner stated that the amendments shall require further consideration and search as and when these are presented.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Rakesh K Dhingra/
Examiner, Art Unit 1792

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required